As reporting and assessment become more precise (cf: SFDRR, Paris Agreement or SDG processes), especially from the development perspective, the challenge now is to compile data on impact and losses at higher resolution within a country. CRED is now making an active effort to compile data at subnational levels. The team is geocoding impact footprints at detailed administrative level, using gridded population layers to assess exposed populations. They will provide data support to countries for reporting to the global monitoring processes using geocoded shapefiles.

The accuracy and completeness of the georeferenced data are directly linked to the information reported by the various sources used within EM-DAT.

In recent years, the occurrence of a disaster and the geospatial location of its footprint has become easier as more sources report on disasters at 1st and possibly 2nd administrative unit level. This allows EM-DAT to geolocate the imprint of the event at a higher resolution and provides policymakers with improved knowledge of the risk zones within a country.

Of the total recorded disasters (5,937), a little less than half are georeferenced at 2nd Administrative Unit Level (2,537) while the rest are coded at 1st Administrative Unit Level (3,400). About 2% of disasters have no spatial location information and are typically events such as droughts or extreme temperature.

Standardizing disaster loss often involve dividing the indicator by a denominator such as population, GDP, age/sex groups. This approach, attractive as it is, can be very misleading as these factors can differ widely across subnational zones.

Subnational data provides a more accurate picture of the disaster loss burden.
The quality of subnational location data depends on continent (Fig. B), disaster type (Fig. C), and country in which the disaster occurs.

The source of reporting (ie. continents and country) often explains variability in the quality of subnational location data. For example, the African and Asian continents report location in greater detail than do the Americas or Europe.

Although overall reporting of disaster impact has improved substantially in the last 30 years, reporting at subnational levels is still deficient.

Accurate assessment of damage and losses from disasters are critical elements for risk reduction, preparedness, and resilience among affected communities. In almost all countries, statistics have improved significantly as a result of improved knowledge of the obstacles created by disasters and their impact on the development process. Today, thanks to better database capacities, reporting, and communications, few catastrophic events go unrecorded in global data repositories.

Global monitoring mechanisms (eg. Sendai monitoring system, Paris Agreement or the Sustainable Development Goals) will be enhanced by disaster loss data reporting from regional sources with higher resolution spatial footprints of both location and losses.

To have good subnational data, we need regional hubs. Data hubs can be created in Regional Technical Institutions who have the capacity for sound data management and analysis.
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CRED News

- CRED has launched a new system to access EM-DAT Database. Register on http://www.emdat.be/database
- CRED has launched a satisfactory survey on the EM-DAT website : http://www.emdat.be/
- Two new articles published in scientific peer reviewed journals: